

Herbert Hoover Pension Rules

By Lawrence B. Lindsey and Marc Sumerlin

Monday, August 4, 2003; Page A15

The basic rules of retirement policy are simple. Save early to allow the power of compound interest to ease your burden. Diversify your investments to reduce your risk. Make steady payments designed to reach your long-term goals. These rules for individuals also make sense for corporations that bear the burden of providing defined-benefit pensions. Unfortunately, the government's archaic pension rules prevent companies from following this logic, especially the common-sense idea of steady payments.

During boom times, when profits are high, government rules discourage plan contributions in two important ways. First, required payments are tied to the market value of the plan. Just as one would expect, this value tends to rise in good times, dropping required payments precisely when firms have the ability to make them. Even worse than this, the government actually placed an effective limit in 1986 on the amount that firms can contribute each year to pension plans. It did so by capping tax-deductible contributions and placing an excise tax on nondeductible contributions. The result was predictable -- firms now keep their pension plans near the minimum funding level rather than building up durable cushions. In 1990, 45 percent of defined-benefit plans had a funded ratio of assets to liabilities of 150 percent. By 1995 only 18 percent of firms maintained such a large cushion.

Just as the government works to discourage contributions during good times, it also forces companies to make excessive payments during bad times. These policies have turned a pension problem into a macroeconomic problem that weighs on the economic recovery. First, pension liabilities are discounted using the 30-year Treasury bond rate to determine whether funding levels are adequate. When the economy weakens, interest rates frequently drop substantially, raising the present value of pension liabilities. The Treasury Department's decision to no longer issue the 30-year bond exacerbated the interest rate decline. This volatility can quickly turn adequately funded plans into seriously unfunded plans. Second, thanks to special procedures enacted in 1994, firms must claw their way back to fully funded plans even more quickly than in past economic downturns.

How big are pension payments becoming? Pension experts estimate that, without a fix, aggregate contributions in 2003-05 could run six times higher than in 1999-2001. Such massive payments could lower after-tax corporate profits by \$65 billion a year, well over 10 percent, battering stock prices. These pro-cyclical payments are the Herbert Hoover approach to pension funding.

The final problem created by the government is its common law marriage to the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (PBGC). Although PBGC is funded through industry fees, everyone knows Congress would step in to provide supplemental funds if needed. The perception of an unconstrained PBGC encourages unions and companies to negotiate excessive retirement packages, even when plans are wildly underfunded, knowing that someone else is on the hook if the plan fails.

The end result of this regulatory bird's nest is a government structure that encourages excessive benefits, promotes prudent funding and requires punitive payments during recessions. No wonder the only way out for some firms is bankruptcy.

Fortunately, lawmakers are beginning to realize the root cause of the pension problem. A consensus is emerging to reduce the pro-cyclical payments over the next two to three years by allowing firms to discount their pension

liabilities with higher-rate corporate bonds.

More is needed however. Legislators should provide temporary relief from the 1994 deficiency payment rule now that it is clear that this medicine could kill the patient. And they must stop the excessive rise in benefits occurs at companies with dangerously underfunded plans. The best way to get out of any hole is to stop digging. Proposals in this area must specifically preempt collective bargaining contracts that allow pension benefits to automatically, even though the plan is underfunded and the company is in trouble. As they address the pro-cy problems, lawmakers must be careful to avoid simply passing the shortfall on to PBGC and perhaps eventual taxpayers. Companies should make steady payments that place them on a path to a fully funded plan on an accrual basis.

The Treasury Department has put on the table a creative, though complex, approach that would provide a better measure of pension liabilities and hence decrease the possibility of future pension crises. This is a worthy aim deserving consideration in a comprehensive bill. If Congress gets this critical legislation right, the economic recovery will accelerate and the next inevitable recession will be a bit less severe.

Lawrence B. Lindsey was director of the National Economic Council in 2001-02 and is now president and CEO of the Lindsey Group. Marc Sumerlin, a former deputy director of the National Economic Council, is managing director of the Lindsey Group.

© 2003 The Washington Post Company